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# 1. Introduction

## 1.1 Background

The Rural Drainage Assessment Tool (the ‘tool’) is a computer application (in the form of an Excel spreadsheet) that has been developed for use by landholders to make a preliminary assessment, at the local scale, of the economic viability of improving or maintaining existing drainage infrastructure. This document is a user guide that is designed to introduce the tool to landholders and to provide a step-by-step overview of how to use the tool and how to interpret the results it produces. The tool is available for download from <https://www.water.vic.gov.au/our-programs/victorian-rural-drainage-strategy>.

**Important note:** Undertaking drainage works may require landholders to gain approval from the relevant authorities. Appendix A provides a brief summary of the roles and responsibilities of landholders and other organisations in relation to rural drainage and how to go about seeking any relevant approvals.

## 1.2 The Rural Drainage Assessment Tool

The Rural Drainage Assessment Tool has been developed to enable individual landholders and groups of landholders to assess if renewing and maintaining on-farm drains is economically viable. The assessment involves the input of a range of enterprise data such as stocking rate, crop yield, and profitability and production costs, as well as scale and costs associated with proposed drainage refurbishment works (‘Refurbishment’ refers to structural earthworks, weed spraying and slashing/mowing, to return drains
to a well-functioning condition). The financial benefits associated with drainage are compared with the costs of generating these benefits through a user-friendly benefit: cost analysis.

It is designed for use primarily across beef, sheep, dairy and cropping enterprises, including properties where there is a mix of enterprises. Horticulture can be treated as cropping within the tool. It has also been configured to use across multiple properties, such as in situations where groups of landholders in an area wish to assess the economic viability of joint activity to improve drainage.

The tool is in the form of an Excel spreadsheet with the ability for the user to use default values (for example, in calculating input costs and gross margins) or to define new values where appropriate.

The tool is designed to be as simple as possible to provide an approximation of economic viability, as a first step towards determining if further investigation and detail is warranted. All outputs should be treated as indicative and should not be relied upon alone to make investment or management decisions. While there may be a range of benefits and costs associated with improving rural drainage, the tool focuses on the financial aspects that will be relevant to landholders. There are a range of other factors that will need to be considered alongside the direct financial impacts before determining whether drainage works are appropriate. These include:

Environmental costs and benefits, including the purchase of environmental offsets

* Impacts of any drainage works
* Planning permits and other approvals for works (and associated costs in meeting these requirements)
* Tax implications of changes in revenues and costs.

Potential benefits for landholders of improving drainage:

Reducing the extent, severity and duration of inundation after rainfall, resulting in:

* Improved productivity and enterprise profitability
* Increased efficiency of farm management (e.g. stock management, machinery movement).

What might be the costs?

* Direct financial costs associated with refurbishment1 (i.e. returning degraded drains to good functioning condition)
* Direct ongoing financial costs associated with maintaining drainage conditions
* Cost/time inputs involved in coordinating drainage works with other landholders
* Costs involved in obtaining environmental and other approvals.

While the tool has been developed for use by individual landholders and groups of landholders, it is also likely to be useful for farm advisors, consultants and farming systems groups working with landholders on rural drainage issues.

## 1.3 Guide to the User Manual

This User Manual has been designed to provide guidance in using the Rural Drainage Assessment Tool to undertake a preliminary assessment of the benefits and costs of refurbishing and maintaining drainage infrastructure on private land.

In addition to the background information provided above, this user manual includes:

An overview of how the tool works

A description of circumstances in which you might use the tool

A summary of the key input data required to undertake an assessment

Step-by-step instructions

A series of worked examples

Advice on how to interpret the results

A glossary of terms.

### 1.3.1 When to use the tool

You have drainage infrastructure on your property and are considering investing in refurbishment and/or maintenance works

Your property is part of a community drainage scheme, across multiple properties, and you would like to collaborate with your neighbours to assess the economic viability of refurbishment and/or maintenance works.

The tool is not designed to assess publicly operated and managed drainage schemes or to assess the broader environmental benefits and costs associated with drainage.

### 1.3.2 Overview of the assessment process

Figure 1 provides an overview of the assessment process.

Figure : Overview of assessment process.

1. Install the tool on to the hard drive of your computer
2. Define the scope of the assessment e.g. single or multiple properties and which enterprises will be included
3. Enter input data for each property and enterprise – Adjust input data if required
4. Enter input data for proposed drainage works – Adjust input data if required
5. Review input data
6. Review results – Adjust input data if required

# 2. User guide

## 2.1 Getting started (Step 1)

The tool is in the form of an Excel spreadsheet.

It is recommended that you make a copy of the file *rural-drainage-assessment-calculator.xlsm* to the hard drive of your computer, and into a folder where it can easily be located in the future.

When you open the file you will see the first worksheet, named Guidance (Figure 2 below). This worksheet provides an overview of the **four key steps** you need work through to undertake a new assessment.

Figure : Guidance worksheet.

**Step 1:** To start a new assessment, click ‘Begin new assessment’ to clear all sheets – this removes previous input data

**Step 2:** Select the main enterprise involving drainage on the property (e.g. beef, dairy, sheep, cropping) to open the relevant worksheet. See Section 2 for guidance on entering user data. Note: for properties with more than one enterprise each relevant worksheet should be filled out

**Step 3:** Click ‘Drainage’to go to the Drainage worksheet where you enter input data on the drainage features of the property

**Step 4:** Enables you to review a summary of the input data and results

**Notes:**

1. Before you begin a new assessment you should save the previous assessment with a unique file name and then reopen the original spreadsheet file and ‘clear all sheets’ to begin a new assessment. **Tip: Once you have completed an assessment save it with a new file name.**
2. Step 2 (Enterprise inputs) and Step 3 (Drainage inputs) require you to enter data into the **ORANGE** cells only. Relevant white cells will then be populated automatically.
3. Step 4 (Summary worksheet) provides an overview of the data you have entered, together with key calculations and results. If you wish to alter any input data, return to the relevant enterprise worksheet or the drainage worksheet.

## 2.2 Entering enterprise input data (Step 2)

The tool has been designed to undertake drainage assessments for four different types of enterprises: beef, dairy, sheep and cropping. A mix of enterprises on each property can also be assessed by entering information for each production type under the appropriate property number. For example, if Property 1 produces both crops and beef, then information should be entered in the ‘Property 1’ column in both the ‘cropping’ and ‘beef’ worksheets.

Each enterprise worksheet has the same basic structure, with a set of specific questions relevant to that enterprise. The questions relate to factors about the current operation, such as stock numbers, income and costs and then ask you to consider how these factors might alter with improved drainage.

Think carefully about each question and then enter your response into the corresponding **ORANGE** cell for Property 1.

Note that when you enter user data, ‘default values’ will be generated in subsequent cells. These values are automatically calculated from the ‘General Inputs and Assumptions’ worksheet. Further information on these inputs and assumptions is provided in Section 2.5 (Figure 9). If you wish you can override the default value with values that are more reflective of your enterprise operations. Note that the assessment is only concerned with changes in operating revenues and operating costs (and hence operating profits), which are then compared against the cost of drainage works. Other potential benefits and costs are not considered (such as environmental impacts, changes in land values, lifestyle benefit, and all other factors mentioned in Section 1.2). Each enterprise worksheet has a set of three buttons at the bottom of the worksheet:

Go to ‘Summary’ sheet – click this button if you wish to review the relevant summary information that has been compiled for the assessment to that stage.

Return to the ‘Guidance’ sheet – click this button if you wish to check the guidance information. The values you have entered will be retained.

Clear this sheet – click this button if you wish to delete the values you have entered for this enterprise. Note that you will need to enter new values to proceed with the assessment.

The tool has been designed for use across multiple properties – for example, by a group of landholders wishing to take a collaborative assessment of the viability of renewing and maintaining a drainage network at a landscape or community scale. Further guidance on multiple property assessments is provided in Example 3.

Specific guidance for each of the four enterprise worksheets is provided on the following pages.

### 2.2.1 Beef

Figure 3 describes the inputs required for assessing the beef enterprise on an individual property.

Figure : Beef enterprise worksheet

1. Average number of breeding stock per year current (Cell C4)
2. Average number of breeding stock per year with improved drainage (C5)
3. Default values will be generated for annual gross income per head (C6) and annual variable cost per head (C8)
4. Override default values (if required) for annual gross income (C7) and annual variable cost (C9)

**Notes:**

1. 'Gross income' includes the annual revenue from all livestock sales minus the value of livestock purchases.
2. 'Variable costs' include costs associated with animal health, feed, pasture management, freight and selling costs.
3. The difference between gross income and variable costs will generate the average gross margin per head – see Cell H8 in ‘Summary’ worksheet.
4. Review the assumptions used to generate the default values for annual gross income and annual variable costs in ‘General Inputs and assumptions’ worksheet. Return to the ‘Beef’ worksheet and adjust these values if required.

### 2.2.2 Dairy

Figure 4 describes the inputs required for assessing the dairy enterprise on an individual property.

Figure : Dairy enterprise worksheet

1. Average number of breeding stock per year current (Cell C4)
2. Average number of breeding stock per year with improved drainage (C5)
3. Default values will be generated for annual gross income per head (C6) and annual variable cost per head (C8)
4. Override default values (if required) for annual gross income (C7) and annual variable cost (C9)

**Notes:**

1. 'Gross income' includes the annual revenue from all milk and livestock sales minus the value of livestock purchases.
2. 'Variable costs' include costs associated with animal health, feed, pasture management, freight and selling costs.
3. The difference between gross income and variable costs will generate the average gross margin per head – see Cell H11 in ‘Summary’ worksheet.
4. Review the assumptions used to generate the default values for annual gross income and annual variable costs in ‘General Inputs and assumptions’ worksheet. Return to the ‘Dairy’ worksheet and adjust these values if required.

### 2.2.3 Sheep

Figure 5 describes the inputs required for assessing the sheep enterprise on an individual property.

Figure : Sheep enterprise worksheet

1. Average number of breeding stock per year current (Cell C4)
2. Average number of breeding stock per year with improved drainage (C5)
3. Default values will be generated for annual gross income per head (C6) and annual variable cost per head (C8)
4. Override default values (if required) for annual gross income (C7) and annual variable cost (C9)

**Notes:**

'Gross income' includes the annual revenue from all wool and livestock sales minus the value of livestock purchases.

'Variable costs' include costs associated with animal health, feed, pasture management, freight and selling costs.

The difference between gross income and variable costs will generate the average gross margin per head – see Cell H14 in ‘Summary’ worksheet.

Review the assumptions used to generate the default values for annual gross income and annual variable costs in ‘General Inputs and assumptions’ worksheet. Return to the ‘Sheep’ worksheet and adjust these values if required.

### 2.2.4 Cropping

Figure 6 describes the inputs required for assessing the cropping enterprise on an individual property

Figure : Cropping enterprise worksheet

1. Average annual area of land used for cropping (Cell C4)
2. Average annual crop yield current (C5)
3. Area of land able to be cropped with improved drainage (C6)
4. Annual crop yield with improved drainage (C7)
5. Default values will be generated for annual gross income per tonne (C8) and annual variable cost per hectare (C10)
6. Override default values (if required) for annual gross income (C9) and annual variable cost (C11)

**Notes:**

1. 'Gross income' includes the annual revenue from sale of all crops over the year.
2. 'Variable costs' includes the annual costs of labour, fertiliser, irrigation, pesticides, sowing, harvesting, cartage and machinery operation.
3. The difference between gross income and variable costs will generate the average gross margin per hectare – see Cell H17 in ‘Summary’ worksheet.
4. Review the assumptions used to generate the default values for annual gross income and annual variable costs in ‘General Inputs and assumptions’ worksheet. Note that the default values are based on dryland wheat (assumed price $220/tonne) and will therefore need to be adjusted for other crops. Return to the ‘Cropping’ worksheet and adjust these values if required. Because the tool is at a whole farm scale, if you have a mix of crop types then you need to consider the average yield weighted across the farm (for example if you have 200 ha of wheat that yields 2.5 t/ha and 100 ha of canola that yields 1 t/ha then the area cropped is 300 ha and the average yield is (2.5 x 200 + 1 x 100)/300 = 1.67 t/ha). The average price would need to be calculated based on the relative prices of wheat and canola.
5. This sheet can equally be used for horticulture, however ‘user input values’ will need to be entered when estimating gross income per tonne and annual variable costs per hectare.

## 2.3 Entering drainage input data (Step 3)

Figure 7 describes the inputs required for assessing the drainage requirements on an individual property.

Figure : Drainage inputs worksheet

1. Total length of drains on property (Cell C4)
2. Total length of drains that require refurbishment (C5)
3. Current condition of drains (C6) – select from dropdown box
4. Cost (per metre) to refurbish (C7) and maintain (C9)
5. Override default values (if required) for refurbishment costs (C8) and annual maintenance costs (C10)
6. Number of years to complete refurbishment program (B13)

**Notes:**

The current condition of drains (good, average or poor) is assumed to determine the refurbishment cost. For example, poor quality drains will require more work and therefore incur greater cost to make them functional. The default value is set at $2.20 per metre with a multiplier of 4 for poor, 1 for average and 0.5 for good condition states. These can be varied as required using the ‘user input value’ cells. Note that drainage costs can vary widely and so it is important to think carefully about whether you want to stick with the default value or enter your own estimate.

The time taken to refurbish all drains will affect the calculation of benefits and costs.

The costs of any additional works required that are not within the boundaries of the properties being assessed should be estimated, and the costs incorporated into the costs of the properties being assessed.

## 2.4 Summary worksheet (Step 4)

The ‘Summary’ worksheet is automatically populated from the input data provided in the relevant enterprise worksheets and the drainage worksheet. Figure 8 provides an overview of the information that is provided in the summary assessment.

Figure : Summary worksheet

Summary worksheet includes tables and graphs to summarise data from enterprise worksheets:

1. Buttons to navigate to enterprise worksheets e.g. beef, dairy sheep, cropping, drainage (Column C)
2. Table 1 - Summary of inputs. Includes input data e.g. head of cattle, profit margin per head automatically populated from the enterprise worksheets from each property up to 10 properties.
3. Table 2 - Summary of outputs. Includes output data e.g. annual benefit from increased production automatically populated from the enterprise worksheets from each property up to 10 properties.
4. Table 3 - Economic viability of drainage across all properties. Includes figures for the ratio of benefits to costs, net present value and time in years to recover investment in improved drainage.
5. Graph 1 – Annual benefits and costs of drainage. Linear graph with annual benefits and annual costs data based on summary of outputs table.
6. Graph 2 – Cumulative benefits and costs of drainage. Linear graph with cumulative benefits and cumulative costs based on summary of outputs table.

**Notes:**

1. It is not possible to adjust or change values in this worksheet. Return to the specific enterprise or drainage worksheet by clicking on the relevant button to the left of the summary of inputs table.
2. For assessments involving multiple properties, specific input data should be provided for each property and enterprise combination. Worked example 3 provides guidance for such an assessment. Up to 10 properties can be combined if required.
3. The graphs (annual benefits and costs of drainage and cumulative benefits and costs of drainage) provide a visual representation of how the benefits and costs vary through time, noting that the time frame for the analysis is 25 years.
4. The Benefit: Cost Ratio (BCR) will provide a general indication of the economic viability of the proposed drainage improvements. A BCR of 2 indicates that the benefits are double the costs over a 25 year time frame and that improvement works appear to be worth investing in. A BCR of 0.5 would indicate that the costs are double the benefits and therefore that investment is not justifiable on economic terms.

Users should be aware of the impacts of external market and environmental factors that may affect revenues and costs, and therefore the financial outputs presented in this summary sheet. Testing these outputs across a range of potential prices and costs in the input sheets will help inform decisions about investing in drainage works. Other factors not covered by the tool, such as those discussed in Section 1.2, should also be considered in this process.

## 2.5 Inputs and assumptions

Apart from user defined inputs, there are a series of general inputs and assumptions used to populate the tool. These are described in the ‘General Inputs and Assumptions’ worksheet (Figure 9).

Figure : General inputs and assumptions worksheet

This worksheet has three components:

1. Economic and financial parameters
2. Default production values
3. Drainage cost assumptions.

Each component includes some brief explanatory notes, together with relevant information sources.

The default production values and drainage costs in this worksheet cannot be altered, but where applicable they can be overridden in specific worksheets (using the ‘user input value’ cells). For example, you can adjust the values for gross income and variable costs for specific enterprises they apply to your context.

## 2.6 Worked examples

2.6.1 Example 1: Mixed beef and cropping enterprise using default input values

This section describes a worked example for a hypothetical mixed farm, with both beef and cropping enterprises. In this example the default input values have been used for estimation of benefits and costs.

Figure : Beef enterprise inputs on beef worksheet

1. Number of breeding stock per year without improved drainage is 200 (Cell C4)
2. Number of breeding stock per year with improved drainage is 205 (C5)
3. Default value for gross income per head generated is $1,000 (C6)
4. Default annual variable cost per head generated is $400 (C8)
5. No user input values are entered to override default values for annual and gross income (C7) and annual variable cost (C9)

Figure : Cropping enterprise inputs on cropping worksheet

1. Average annual area of land used for cropping is 200 hectares (Cell C4)
2. Current average annual yield is 8 tonnes per hectare (C5)
3. Expected area of land able to be cropped with improved drainage is 210 hectares (C6)
4. Expected annual crop yield with improved drainage is 8.5 tonnes per hectare (C7)
5. Default value for gross income per tonne generated is $220 (C8)
6. Default annual variable cost per hectare generated is $1,250 (C10)
7. No user input values are entered to override default values for annual and gross income (C9) and annual variable cost (C11)

Figure : Drainage inputs on drainage worksheet

1. Current total length of drains is 2,000 m (Cell C4)
2. Total length of drains that require refurbishment is 1,000 m (C5)
3. The current condition of drains is average (C6)
4. Default value for refurbishment cost generated is $2.20 per metre (C7)
5. Default maintenance cost generated is $0.41 per metre per year (C9)
6. No user input values are entered to override default values for refurbishment cost (C8) and annual maintenance costs (C10)
7. It is estimated that the refurbishment program will take two years (B13)

Figure : Summary of inputs and results on summary worksheet

Summary of outputs table shows:

1. The annualised benefits from increased production are $21,069 per year
2. The annualised refurbishment and maintenance costs are $869 per year
3. The benefits are estimated to exceed the costs by ~ 30 times (BCR of 24.25) with a payback period of one year

Based on the summary worksheet the proposed program appears **highly** economically viable.

### 2.6.2 Example 2: Dairy enterprise with user defined input values

This section describes a worked example for a hypothetical dairy farm of 400 hectares. In this example the default data has been overridden for both enterprise inputs and drainage inputs.

Figure : Dairy enterprise inputs on dairy worksheet

1. Number of breeding stock without improved drainage is 150 (Cell C4)
2. Number of breeding stock with improved drainage is 155 (C5)
3. Default value for annual gross income per head generated is $2,800 (C6). This was seen to be overestimated
4. User input value for gross income per head of $2,600 entered to override default value (C7)
5. Default value for annual variable cost per head generated is $1,024 (C8). This was seen to be underestimated
6. User input value for annual variable cost per head of $1,060 entered to override default value (C9)

Figure : Drainage inputs on drainage worksheet

1. Current total length of drains is 3,000 m (Cell C4)
2. Total length of drains requiring refurbishment is 2,500 m (C5)
3. Current condition of drains is poor (C6)
4. Default value for refurbishment cost generated is $8.80 per metre (C7)
5. User input value for refurbishment cost of $10 per metre is entered to override default value (C8)
6. Default value for maintenance cost per metre per year generated is $0.41 (C9)
7. User input value for maintenance cost of $2 per metre per year entered to override default value (C10)
8. It is estimated that the refurbishment program will take two years (B13)

Figure : Summary of input data and results on summary worksheet

Summary of outputs table shows:

1. The annualised benefits from increased production are $5,200 per year
2. The annualised refurbishment and maintenance costs are $7,235 per year
3. The benefits are estimated to be slightly less than the costs (BCR of 0.73) with a payback period of 24 years

Based on the summary worksheet the proposed program appears to **not be** economically viable.

### 2.6.3 Example 3: Multiple properties with a mix of enterprises and user defined input values

This section describes a worked example showing an assessment for multiple properties (three) where there is a mix of enterprises (two beef and one dairy). User defined values have been used as inputs.

Figure : Beef enterprise inputs on beef worksheet

1. Property One and Property Three run beef stock.
2. Number of breeding stock without improved drainage is 100 on Property One (Cell C4) and 50 on Property Three (E4)
3. Number of breeding stock with improved drainage is 105 on Property One (C5) and 60 on Property Three (E5)
4. Default value for annual gross income per head generated is $1000 on Property One (C6) and Property Three (E6)
5. User input value for gross income per head of $800 entered to override default value on Property One (C7) and Property Three (E7)
6. Default value for annual variable cost per head generated is $400 on Property One (C8) and Property Three (E8)
7. User input value for annual variable cost per head of $390 entered to override default value on Property One (C9) and Property Three (E9)

Figure : Dairy enterprise inputs on dairy worksheet

1. Property Two runs dairy stock
2. Number of breeding stock without improved drainage is 200 (Cell D4)
3. Number of breeding stock with improved drainage is 210 (D5)
4. Default value for annual gross income per head generated is $2,800 (D6)
5. User input value for annual gross income per head of $2,600 entered to override default value
6. Default value for annual variable cost per head generated is $1,024 (D8)
7. User input value for annual variable cost per head of $1,200 entered to override default value (D9)

Figure : Drainage input data

1. Current total length of drains is 1,000 m on Property One (Cell C4), 1,000 m on Property Two (D4) and 2,000 m on Property Three (E4)
2. Total length of drains requiring refurbishment is 300 m on Property One (C5), 800 m on Property Two (D5) and 1,500 m on Property Three
3. Current condition of drains is poor on Property One (C6) and Property Two (D6) and average on Property Three (E6)
4. Default value for refurbishment cost generated is $8.80 per metre for Property One (C7) and Property Two (D7) and $2.20 per metre for Property Three (E7)
5. User input value for refurbishment cost is entered at $5 per metre for Property One (C8), $10 per metre for Property Two (D8) and $5 per metre for Property Three (E8) to override default values
6. Default value for maintenance cost per metre per year generated is $0.41 for all properties (C9, D9, E9)
7. User input value for maintenance cost is entered at $1 per metre per year for Property One (C10), $2 per metre per year for Property Two (D10) and $1 per metre per year for Property Three (E10)
8. It is estimated that the refurbishment program will take two years (B13)

Figure : Summary of inputs and results on summary worksheet

The summary of outputs table shows:

1. The annualised benefits from increased production are $13,607 per year in total across all properties
2. The annualised refurbishment and maintenance costs are $5,610 per year in total
3. The benefits are estimated to be outweighing the costs (BCR of 2.43) with a total payback period of 4 years

Based on the summary worksheet the proposed program appears to be economically viable.

# Appendix A. Additional information

Future changes in dryland agricultural production and in land use, both of which are largely driven by market demand for agricultural commodities, may affect the benefits derived from rural drains. The potential for reducing the effects of inundation of agricultural land is heavily dependent on the condition and subsequent performance of existing drainage infrastructure.

## Climate change and climate variability

The effects of future climate change are predicted to be significant for Victorian agriculture. These changes are likely to affect productivity and costs associated with different agricultural enterprises, together with the characteristics and function of drainage schemes.

In general, climate change is expected to produce hotter and drier conditions, which would tend to decrease the average annual impacts of inundation. Although drier conditions are expected, the intensity of some extreme rainfall events may increase. The benefits of well-maintained drains would be most evident following these events. The overall net effect of climate change on the cost-benefit equation for maintaining rural drains is thus uncertain and may not be evident in the short term.

Furthermore, the local effects of climate change are difficult to predict with any certainty, and therefore the benefits and costs of improving drainage systems are perhaps best explored through a range of scenarios which can then inform landholder decision making.

Table 1 describes the predicted implications for temperature change, rainfall, evapotranspiration and runoff for three scenarios (DELWP, 2016) that have been generated from a set of global climate models. More detailed information is available in this report at a river basin scale; however, the general pattern is similar to the state wide data shown here.

There is considerable uncertainty around these climatic factors, and landholders should take this uncertainty into consideration when assessing the cost effectiveness of drainage interventions. Landholders should consider how changes in temperature, rainfall and runoff may affect their farming operations (stocking rates, areas cropped, yields, prices and costs of inputs) in the future. These factors will change the effectiveness of drainage and its impact on enterprise profitability. The best approach would be to develop scenarios around changes in these inputs, and then assess how they would respond in terms of their operations. They should then consider how improved drainage may alter these operational decisions under these scenarios.

The design and ease-of-use of the Rural Drainage Assessment Tool makes it suitable for scenario analysis, such as exploring the implications of various climate change scenarios for the economic viability of investing in drainage improvement.

To use the tool in this way we would recommend the following steps:

1. Select a climate change scenario you would like to explore, using Table 1 as a guide
2. Create a new assessment in the Rural Drainage Assessment Tool
3. Complete the assessment giving consideration to what you think the estimated impact of the climate change scenario will be on your operations (and hence the respective input values). For example:

Under the medium climate change scenario, what effect would the predicted change in temperature, rainfall, potential evapotranspiration and runoff have on:

1. The carrying capacity and/or yield of your enterprise ‘with’ and ‘without’ improved drainage
2. Income and costs
3. The proportion of drains that would require refurbishment and the future condition of the drainage network on the property.
4. Compare the results of this assessment with the previous baseline assessment (without ‘climate change’).

Table : Predicted impacts

| **Climate change scenario** | **Temperature change** | **Rainfall** | **Potential evapotranspiration (PET)** | **Runoff** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2040** | **2065** | **2040** | **2065** | **2040** | **2065** | **2040** | **2065** |
| Low |  |  | +2.4% | +2.7% |  |  | 8.7% | +1.5% |
| Medium | +1.30°C | +2.30°C | -3.6% | -4.7% | -4.5% | -7.4% | -8.5% | -15.9% |
| High |  |  | -10.4% | -19.4% |  |  | -24.7% | -43.8% |

(Note: Data not available for temperature change and PET for low and high scenarios)

## Approvals and other factors to consider

Currently, individual landholders may need to apply to a range of agencies to obtain the necessary approvals to drain water from their land. A number of these approvals have costs associated with them and will need to be considered when planning for works.

The existing requirements can include: permission from local council for earthworks that relate to the management of dryland rural drainage; approval to undertake works on a waterway from catchment management authorities and permission to remove native vegetation or undertake works on Crown land from the Department of Energy, Environment and Climate Action.

Extra effort may be required to demonstrate that works will be undertaken in an environmentally sensitive way where drainage works could affect:

Ramsar wetlands

Flagship waterway sites

Wetlands and waterways by:

* Changes in watering regimes
* Impact on ecological values (this would also apply to a cumulative effect on ecological values), including:
	+ Native vegetation (trees, shrubs and grasses)
	+ Aquatic and/or terrestrial fauna
	+ Aquatic and/or terrestrial habitat
	+ Water quality and/or quantity.

Where a greater level of effort is required to consider environmental approval applications, approvals may still be granted. But more detailed investigation may be necessary to ensure potential impacts from drainage works have been considered, avoided or minimised. The Australian Government *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* identifies heritage items of national significance and provides protection mechanisms for these items. If an action is proposed that significantly affects a nationally listed heritage item, approval is required from the Australian Government – in addition to state and local approvals.

Landholders also have an obligation to protect cultural heritage and cultural landscapes during land management activities under the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 2006*. Landholders are responsible for reporting the discovery of Aboriginal cultural heritage and for not causing harm (without the appropriate authorisation under the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 2006*). An approved Cultural Heritage Management Plan or cultural heritage permit may be required in such places while undertaking dryland rural drainage works, including maintenance. Your council can advise on which group you need to talk to regarding cultural heritage in your area.

For more information on the approvals that may be required for your rural drainage works, refer to the *Dryland Rural Drainage Resource Kit for Landholders Version 3.0*.

In addition to the costs of meeting regulatory, legislative and planning obligations, there are other costs that landholders may need to consider. These include potential costs associated with planning, design, procurement and coordination of drainage works.
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Changes in climate conditions will affect the way rural drainage needs to be managed into the future. The Bureau of Meteorology recently released a synthesis of findings from the Victorian Climate Initiative. Because of the uncertainty about when and the extent to which reductions in rainfall and streamflow may occur, a scenario-based approach to planning is recommended.

Specific guidance for the water sector was developed in 2020 by the Department of Energy, Environment and Climate Action to help the sector plan for and adapt to a range of climate scenarios. The Guidelines for Assessing the Impacts of Climate Change on Water Availability in Victoria apply a risk-based framework that considers the vulnerability of supply systems to climate variability and climate change.

<https://www.water.vic.gov.au/our-programs/climate-change-and-victorias-water-sector/delivering-water-in-a-changing-climate/water-availability-climate-change-guidelines>

The Victorian Government has partnered with CSIRO to help Victorian communities prepare for climate change by providing authoritative and up-to-date information. Victorian Climate Projections 2019 has produced regional reports to help you understand how the climate will change in your region.

<https://www.climatechange.vic.gov.au/victorias-changing-climate>

## Glossary of terms

**Annualised benefit:** The equivalent yearly value of a project’s total projected benefits over its lifetime.

**Annualised cost:** The equivalent yearly value of a project’s total projected costs over its lifetime.

**Annual gross income:** The value of gross annual income from all sources (before deductions e.g. income tax).

**Benefit: Cost Ratio:** A benefit:cost ratio (BCR) is an indicator, used in cost-benefit analysis, which summarises the overall value for money of a project or proposal. All benefits and costs are expressed in discounted present values.

**Gross margin:** Refers to the total income derived from an enterprise less the variable costs incurred in the enterprise. This is usually expressed as a value per head of stock or per hectare of crop.

**Macros:** A macro is a piece of programming code that runs in the Excel computer program and helps automate routine tasks. The Rural Drainage Assessment Tool contains a number of macros that need to be enabled for the tool to work.

**Net Present Value (NPV):** The net present value (NPV) or net present worth (NPW) is a measurement of economic profit calculated by subtracting the present values (PV) of cash outflows (including initial cost) from the present values of cash inflows over a period of time.

**Payback period:** Payback period is the time in which the initial cash outflow of investment is expected to be recovered from the cash inflows generated by the investment.

**Refurbishment:** In the context of rural drainage this refers to cases where an existing drain requires major works to restore its original function and effectiveness.

**Rural drainage:** The works and functions related to the collection, and timely removal, of excess water generated by high rainfall to support agriculture production. It involves enhancing the hydraulic capacity of drainage lines and soils, and increasing the rate at which water will flow off (or through) and away from land, to support increased agricultural production in dryland areas.

**Variable costs:** Expenses that vary in direct proportion to the quantity of output (e.g. number of animals in the enterprise, area of crop established).